

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/723,958	11/25/2003	Debra Jean Belton	9434	
759	90 11/09/2006		EXAMINER	
Debra J. Belton			DOAN, ROBYN KIEU	
15183 Chamisal Chesterfield, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•		3732	
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/723,958	BELTON, DEBRA JEAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robyn Doan	3732		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the follow time periods:	· (3)							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	I							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee 2) as							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the dat filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS	e of nce							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. Main The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	-							
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>	of							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	a							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because  See Continuation Sheet.	<b>)</b> :							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. \ Other: Non-Compliant Itr.								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that Tsujioka's device is used as a paper knife and scissors, this is not correct because Tsujioka's device is a hair styling device (see translated abstract and fig. 7 shows the device on the hair of the user). Applicant also argued there is no motivation to combine with Edmark's device, however, Edmark shows the cylindrical probe for the purpose of facilitating the insertion of the device through the hair, therefore it is proper to combine. In regard to claim 2, Edmark's cylindrical probe being rigid however Edmark fails to show the material being made of metal, therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to use the metal material as a prefered materia.

ROBYN NOAN

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -mendment document filed on 06 March 2000 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the ements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following

		Robyn Doan	3732	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<del></del>	orrespondence ad	dress
	dment document filed on <u>06 March 2000</u> is cornts of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amequired.			
	OWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPLI	ANT:
□ 2.	Abstract:  A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37  B. Other	CFR 1.72.		
	Amendments to the drawings:  A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C  B. The practice of submitting proposed dreshowing amended figures, without mand C. Other	FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimin	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings
_	Amendments to the claims:  A. A complete listing of all of the claims is B. The listing of claims does not include the claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following second (Previously presented), (New), (Not end) D. The claims of this amendment paper has contact the claims of the claims is claims in the claims is claims in claims in claims is claims in claims in claims in claims in claims is claims in claims in claims is claims in claims in claims in claims in claims in claims is claims in claims in claims in claims is claims in claims i	the text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and the teet the status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Currottered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indiv of be indicated afte ently amended), ( own-currently ame	idual status er its claim Canceled), ended).
☐ 5.	Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no	ot signed in accordance with 37 C	FR 1.4):	
For further	explanation of the amendment format require	d by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.	
TIME PERI	IODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	E:		
filed aff	ant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-cor ter allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit <b>corrected amendment</b> must be resubmitted.	the non-compliant after-final ame	al amendment or endment with corr	an amendmen ections, the
correct (includi amend <i>Quayle</i>	ant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, which ion, if the non-compliant amendment is one of ing a submission for a request for continued extends in the continued as the continued of action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are chest amendment in compliance with 37 CF	f the following: a preliminary ame xamination (RCE) under 37 CFR 7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an am cked, the correction required is o	ndment, a non-fin 1.114), a suppler sendment filed in i	al amendment nental response to a
	ensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1 endment or an amendment filed in response to		amendment is a	non-final
fi N	ure to timely respond to this notice will result bandonment of the application if the non-cor led in response to a Quayle action; or lon-entry of the amendment if the non-complimendment.	mpliant amendment is a non-final		
1	egal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable		ne No	